

actually may include, as part of the lens, a rotator, peripheral member and memory member.

Claim 43 has been withdrawn.

Claim 44 has been rewritten in new claim 46, as suggested by the Examiner. In addition, hoods and helmets have been added to the list.

35 U.S.C. § 102

Stewart only discloses prescription eyeglasses. Accordingly, Applicant has rewritten claims 19, 20, 22 - 24 and 44 as claims 46, 47, and 49 - 51 to include numerous types of eyewear other than and prescription eyeglasses in the list of eyewear claimed.

In addition, Stewart does not disclose a peripheral edge having at least one mating member and at least one peripheral member having at least one mating member whereby the peripheral member mating member engages the peripheral edge mating member. Accordingly, Applicant has added claims 77 through 85.

Applicant traverses Examiner's rejection of claims 34 - 37 and requests reconsideration, as Stewart does not disclose a lens that has a rotator, turning member, peripheral member or memory member that could be a part of the lens.

Applicant traverses Examiner's rejection of claim 38 and requests reconsideration as Figure 3 in Werling, Sr. discloses a protrusion, and not a cut-out.

35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 21 has been withdrawn and rewritten as claim 48, which includes a variety of eyewear that is not disclosed in Stewart or Morrison.

Claim 43 has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 30 - 34 have been withdrawn and rewritten as suggest by Examiner in new claims 58 through 61.

In addition, claims 62 through 76 have been added, as they relate to the allowable subject matter.

Amendments

In connection with the Office Action mailed on March 24, 2005, please amend the Claims as follows: